TOM HOUGHTON, MEMBER C 13TH DISTRICT • SOUTHERN CHESTER COUNTY

121B EAST WING P.O. BOX 202013 HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2013 PHONE: (717) 772-2426 FAX: (717) 787-6727

3157 LIMESTONE ROAD, SUITE 101 P.O. BOX 69 COCHRANVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA 19330 PHONE: (610) 593-6565 FAX: (610) 593-7041

E-MAIL: THOUGHTON@PAHOUSE.NET WEB SITE: WWW.PAHOUSE.COM/HOUGHTON

November 20, 2009

Environmental Quality Board P. O. Box 8477 Harrisburg, PA 17105



House of Representatives commonwealth of pennsylvania harrisburg

COMMITTEES

AGRICULTURE & RURAL AFFAIRS ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES & ENERGY LOCAL GOVERNMENT CHILDREN & YOUTH

CAUCUSES

FIREFIGHTER & EMERGENCY SERVICES CAUCUS PA LEGISLATIVE SPORTSMEN'S CAUCUS

RECEIVED

NOV 3 0 2009

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 PA. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Dear Environmental Quality Board:

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why we need a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. It is also why DEP should not eliminate technical review of stormwater plans. Without review by the state and without opportunities for public comment, stormwater management will get worse, not better. Pennsylvania's streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

We applaud DEP for requiring forested buffers on EV streams, but we need to require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams. Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs at least \$6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, demonstrating that environmental improvements can be achieved without economic burdens.

DEP should also continue to review stormwater plans to insure that they meet the standards of the Clean Water Act and do not degrade the quality of the streams of the Commonwealth. An expedited permit review process, like the new "permit-by-rule" (PBR) program, puts rivers and streams at risk, is poor policy, and violates core requirements of the Clean Water Act. Of particular concern is the fact that the PBR would apply in High Quality and Impaired watersheds. These watersheds require special protections to ensure that water quality is protected and maintained. Those special protections cannot be ensured through an expedited permit review process.

Please make minimum 100 foot forested stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Top Hagnin State Representative 13th Legislative District